



Supply Base Report: Marwood Ltd.

Main (Initial) Audit

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.4

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016

Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016

Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020

Version 1.4 published 22 October 2020

Contents

- 1 Overview**
 - 2 Description of the Supply Base**
 - 2.1 General description
 - 2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base
 - 2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier
 - 2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base
 - 3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation**
 - 4 Supply Base Evaluation**
 - 4.1 Scope
 - 4.2 Justification
 - 4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme
 - 4.4 Conclusion
 - 5 Supply Base Evaluation process**
 - 6 Stakeholder consultation**
 - 6.1 Response to stakeholder comments
 - 7 Mitigation measures**
 - 7.1 Mitigation measures
 - 7.2 Monitoring and outcomes
 - 8 Detailed findings for indicators**
 - 9 Review of report**
 - 9.1 Peer review
 - 9.2 Public or additional reviews
 - 10 Approval of report**
- Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators**

2 Description of the Supply Base

2.1 General description

Feedstock types: Tertiary

Includes Supply Base evaluation (SBE): No

Feedstock origin (countries): Canada, United States

2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base

Country:Canada

Area/Region: Atlantic Provinces

Exclusions: No

Description: All wood is locally sourced from New Brunswick and Nova Scotia.

Country:United States

Area/Region: Northern Maine

Exclusions: No

Description: All wood is sourced from northern Maine.

2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

All of our input material is SFI certified wood.

2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base

Supply Base

- a. **Total Supply Base area (million ha):** 10,00
- b. **Tenure by type (million ha):**1.29 (Privately owned), 1.13 (Public)
- c. **Forest by type (million ha):**2.42 (Temperate)
- d. **Forest by management type (million ha):**0.30 (Plantation), 0.30 (Managed natural), 1.82 (Natural)
- e. **Certified forest by scheme (million ha):**2.42 (SFI)

Describe the harvesting type which best describes how your material is sourced: Mix of the above
Explanation: In New Brunswick and Nova Scotia (Canada) and northern Maine (USA) there are a mix of harvesting operations in use. Clearcutting, Commercial thinning and select cutting are different modes of harvesting used.

Was the forest in the Supply Base managed for a purpose other than for energy markets? Yes - Majority

Explanation: Most of the wood harvested is for the lumber industry. Sawdust is a by-product of other products that we make.

For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling? Yes - Majority

Explanation: Many of the forest lands are site prepped a year after cutting to help naturally regenerate.

Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation? No

Explanation: There are no salvage operations currently underway.

Feedstock

Reporting period from: 01 Sep 2020

Reporting period to: 31 Aug 2021

- a. **Total volume of Feedstock:** 1-200,000 m³
- b. **Volume of primary feedstock:** 0 m³
- c. **List percentage of primary feedstock, by the following categories.**
 - Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0%
 - Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: 0%
- d. **List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name:** Picea spp (Spruce); Pinus spp (Pine); Abies spp (Fir);
- e. **Is any of the feedstock used likely to have come from protected or threatened species?** N/A
 - Name of species: N/A
 - Biomass proportion, by weight, that is likely to be composed of that species (%): N/A
- f. **Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** N/A
- g. **Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** N/A
- h. **Proportion of biomass composed of or derived from saw logs (%):** N/A
- i. **Specify the local regulations or industry standards that define saw logs:** N/A
- j. **Roundwood from final fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times - Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%):** N/A
- k. **Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest:** 0 N/A
- l. **List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest, by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:**
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
 - Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- m. **Volume of secondary feedstock:** 0 N/A
 - Physical form of the feedstock: N/A

n. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 1-200,000 m3

- Physical form of the feedstock: Shavings, Sawdust (dry)

Proportion of feedstock sourced per type of claim during the reporting period

Feedstock type	Sourced by using Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) %	FSC %	PEFC %	SFI %
Primary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Secondary	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
Tertiary	0,00	0,00	0,00	100,00
Other	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00

3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation

Is Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) is completed? No

N/A

4 Supply Base Evaluation

4.1 Scope

Feedstock types included in SBE: N/A

SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: Not applicable

List of countries and regions included in the SBE:

N/A

4.2 Justification

N/A

4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme

N/A

4.4 Conclusion

N/A

5 Supply Base Evaluation process

N/A

6 Stakeholder consultation

N/A

6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

N/A

7 Mitigation measures

7.1 Mitigation measures

N/A

7.2 Monitoring and outcomes

N/A

8 Detailed findings for indicators

Detailed findings for each Indicator are given in Annex 1 in case the Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) is not used.

Is RRA used? N/A

9 Review of report

9.1 Peer review

N/A

9.2 Public or additional reviews

N/A

10 Approval of report

Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management			
Report Prepared by:	Megan Charters	Certification Co-ordinator	21 Sep 2021
	Name	Title	Date
<p>The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report.</p>			
Report approved by:	Phil Crowell	Business Improvement Manager	22 Sep 2021
	Name	Title	Date

Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators

N/A